

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHRISTOPHER HOOD,

Plaintiff,

v.

TERENCE SELLERS and
CASSIDY'S TRANSFER,

Defendants.

: CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-CV-0275

:

:

:

: Magistrate Judge Saporito

:

:

:

:

:

FILED
WILKES BARRE
JUL 26 2018

Per

MS

VERDICT SLIP

Question 1:

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Terence Sellers, as an agent of Cassidy's Transfer, was negligent in the operation of his tractor trailer at the time of the accident?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answer Question 1 "YES," go to Question 2.

If you answer Question 1 "NO," go to Question 3.

Question 2:

If you found that Terence Sellers, as an agent of Cassidy's Transfer, was negligent in the operation of his tractor trailer at the time of the accident, was it a substantial factor in causing the injuries?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answer Question 2 "YES," go to Question 3.

If you answer Question 2 "NO," go to Question 3.

Question 3:

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Cassidy's Transfer was negligent in training Terence Sellers?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answer Question 3 "YES," go to Questions 4.

If you answer Questions 1 and 3 "NO," the Plaintiff cannot recover and you should not answer any further questions. Tell the court officer you have reached a verdict.

Question 4:

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Cassidy's Transfer's negligence in training Terence Sellers was a substantial factor in causing the injuries?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Proceed to Question 5.

Question 5:

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Christopher Hood was negligent in the operation of his motor vehicle?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answer Question 5 "YES," go to Question 6.

If you answer Question 5 "NO," go to Question 7.

Question 6:

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Christopher Hood's negligence was a substantial factor in causing the injuries?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Proceed to Question 7.

Question 7:

Taking the combined negligence that was a substantial factor in causing the injuries as 100 percent, what percentage of that negligence do you attribute to each party? If you did not find that a given party was negligent or a substantial factor in causing the injuries, you should put a "0" then in parenthesis spell out zero, Z-E-R-O, end parenthesis, next to that party's name.

Percentage negligence attributable to the Defendant,
Terence Sellers as an agent of Cassidy's Transfer

14 %

Percentage of negligence attributable to Cassidy's Transfer

23 %

Percentage negligence attributable to Plaintiff,
Christopher Hood

63 %

100 %
Total: 100%

Question 8:

Itemize the amount of damages sustained by Christopher Hood as a result of this accident without reduction by any percentage that you have attributed to Christopher Hood.

The fact that a category is listed below does not mean that you must award damages with respect to that category if you find the evidence does not support such a claim.

(A) Past and future loss of earning and lost earning capacity

\$ 650,000

(B) Future medical bills

\$ 750,000

(C) Past, present, and future pain and suffering, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, scarring, and disfigurement.

\$ - 0 -

TOTAL:

\$ 1,400,000

Question 9:

You should answer the following question only as to those Defendants whom you found were a substantial factor of Plaintiff's injuries in questions 2 and 4 by answering "yes" to that question. If you did not find the given Defendant liable, you should check "not applicable" ("N/A") next to the Defendant's name.

Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Terence Sellers and/or Cassidy's Transfer acted maliciously, wantonly, or with reckless indifference in their actions toward the plaintiff?

Terence Sellers

Yes ☐

No ☒

Cassidy's Transfer

Yes ☐

No ☒

After completing your deliberations return your answers to those questions on the Verdict Forms signed and dated by the jury foreman to the courtroom deputy.

Dated: July 26, 2018

JO

Kimberlee Cusker
Foreman